The Eleventh International Conference on Austronesian Linguistics Aussois, France June 22-25, 2009

When a First Person Participant Meets a Second Person Participant: Irregularities in Personal Pronoun Systems in Philippine Languages

> Hsiu-chuan Liao National Tsing Hua University

The Issue

• The *unusual* coding of **the actor** (or **the actor** plus **the undergoer**) in events involving a *first person singular participant* acting upon a *second person participant*

Bolinao (Pérez 1975:50-51) (a) na?kít = ku = ya. saw=Gen.1s=Nom.3s 'I saw him.'

(b) *na*?kít = *ta* = ya. saw=Gen.1d=Nom.3s '*We* (dl.) saw him.'

(c) *na*?kít=*ta*=ka. saw=Gen.1d=Nom.2s '*I* saw you (sg.).'

Objectives

- The **formal coding** of *the actor* (or *the actor* plus *the undergoer*) in **events involving '1s.actor**' **and '2s(/p).undergoer'** in Philippine languages
- The *unusual* coding of *the actor* (or *the actor* plus *the undergoer*) in such events: direct inheritance?
- The motivation for the use of reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)ta 'Gen.1pi' (or sometimes reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)Da 'Gen.3p') in place of reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)ku 'Gen.1s' in such events

Philippine Microgroups (Blust 1991)

- 1) Bashiic (or Batanic)
- 2) Northern Luzon (or Cordilleran)
- 3) Central Luzon
- 4) Inati
- 5) Kalamian
- 6) Greater Central Philippines (Central Philippines, South Mangyan, Palawanic, Manobo, Danaw, Subanun, *Gorontalic*)
- 7) Bilic
- 8) Sangiric
- 9) Minahasan

Overview

• Languages that *do not* make use of special coding strategies for *the actor* (or *the actor* plus *the undergoer*) in events involving '1s.actor' and '2s(/p).undergoer'

- Languages that make use of *special* coding strategies for *the actor* (or *the actor* plus *the undergoer*) in such events:
 - (a) no overt form of the actor
 - (b) the use of reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)ta 'Gen.1pi'
 - (c) the use of reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)Da 'Gen.3p'

(d) the use of special portmanteau forms (e.g. *kita*, *tika*, *tikaw*, etc.) for 'Gen.1s + Nom.2s'

Coding Strategies

- *Three* of the Philippine microgroups do <u>not</u> appear to have an *unusual coding* of the actor in events involving a first person singular participant acting upon a second person participant.
 - > Bashiic
 - > Inati
 - > Bilic

Northern Luzon 1: Ilokano

- <u>Ilokano</u> (Rubino 1997:58-59) (a) *ay*-ayat*en* = *ka*. love=Nom.2s '*I* love you (sg.).'
- (b) titser = ka. teacher=Nom.2s 'You (sg.) are my teacher.'

Northern Luzon 2: Arta

- <u>Arta</u> (Reid, fieldnotes)
 (a) meta = ta = taw ti damAdmAyi. see=Gen.1d=Nom.2s Lcv tomorrow
 'I will see you (sg.) tomorrow.'
- (b) meta = ta = tam ti damAdmAyi. see=Gen.1d=Nom.2p Lcv tomorrow 'I will see you (pl.) tomorrow.'

Northern Luzon 3a: Meso-Cordilleran I

- <u>Northern Alta</u> (Reid, fieldnotes)
- (a) ma?inta? = ta = ?a ten ududma.
 see=Gen.1d=Nom.2s Lcv tomorrow
 'I will see you (sg.) tomorrow.'
- (b) ma?inta?=ta=?am ten ududma. see=Gen.1d=Nom.2p Lcv tomorrow 'I will see you (pl.) tomorrow.'

Northern Luzon 3b: Meso-Cordilleran IIa

 <u>Ilongot/Bugkalot</u> (Liao, fieldnotes)
 (a) kadiwa?an = ta = ka. accompany=Gen.1d=Nom.2s

'I will accompany you (sg.).'

(b) kadiwa?an = ta = ki. accompany=Gen.1d=Nom.2p 'I will accompany you (pl.).'

Northern Luzon 3b: Meso-Cordilleran IIb

- <u>Ilongot/Bugkalot</u> (Liao, fieldnotes)
 (a) kabuintaw = ta = ka. friend=Gen.1d=Nom.2s
 'You (sg.) are my friend.'
- (b) kabuintaw = **ta** = ki. friend=Gen.1d=Nom.2p 'You (pl.) are **my** friends.'

N. Luzon 4: N.Cordilleran 1-NE Luzon

- <u>Dupaningan Agta</u> (Robinson 2008:123-124) (a) *ka*tandi = *ta* = ka = dan?
 - know=Gen.1d=Nom.2s=already 'Do *I* know you (sg.) already?'
- (b) ialapan = ta = kam ha padut get=Gen.1d=Nom.2p Obl fish 'I will get you (pl.) some fish'

N. Luzon 4: N.Cordilleran 2-Cagayan

- <u>Ibanag</u> (Tsuchida et al. 1989:95)
 (a) masingat = ta = ka so:nu umma. see=Gen.1d=Nom.2s tomorrow
 'I will see you (sg.) tomorrow.'
- (b) masingat=ta=kamu so:nu umma. see=Gen.1d=Nom.2p tomorrow 'I will see you (pl.) tomorrow.'

N. Luzon 4: N.Cordilleran 2-Cagayan

- <u>Ga'dang /Gaddang</u> (Walrod 1988: 104, 121, 164)
- (a) ...pakoman = ta = ka.
 ...forgive=Gen.1d=Nom.2s
 ...I forgive you (sg.).'
- (b) Aggataronan = ta = kayu.... wait=Gen.1d=Nom.2p 'I waited you (pl.)....'
- (c) ... balawan = da = kayu.
 - ...rebuke=Gen.3p=Nom.2p
 - "....**I** rebuke you (pl.)."

Central Luzon 1

- <u>Kapampangan</u> (Gonzalez 1981:178)
- (a) kalugurán=da=ká.
 love=Gen.3p=Nom.2s
 'I (/We (ex.) / They) love you (sg.).'
- (b) kalugurán=da=kayú. love=Gen.3p=Nom.2p
 'I (/We (ex.) / They) love you (pl.).'

Central Luzon 2

- <u>Bolinao</u> (Pérez 1975:50)
 (a) *na*?kít = *ta* = ka. saw=Gen.1d=Nom.2s
 '*I* saw you (sg.).'
- (b) *na*?kít = *ta* = kamú. saw=Gen.1d=Nom.2p '*I* saw you (pl.).'

Central Luzon 3

• Ayta, Mag-anchi (Storck and Storck 2005:146)

(a) haglap*an=katá*.

help=Nom.2s+Gen.1d '*I* will help you (sg.).'

(b) ...haglapan=kataw

- ... help=Nom.2p+Gen.1d
- "....I will help you (pl.)."

***Same or similar portmanteau forms are also found in other Central Luzon languages (e.g. Botolan Sambal, Halitaq Bayta, and Kakilingan Sambal).

Kalamian

• <u>Agutaynen</u> (Quakenbush 2005:445) Indi=**a** itabid=*ta*. Neg=Nom.2s accompany=Gen.1pi

'(Don't worry.) I (lit. we) will not include you (sg.).'

Quakenbush (2005:468, note 21):

"...it is possible for a first-person plural inclusive Actor to cooccur with a second-person Nominative Patient in a colloquial, friendly Agutaynen style. This could be called the "familiar we", which decreases formality and distance, as opposed to the English "editorial" we, which increase the same."

Greater Central Philippines 1a: Manobo I

<u>Agusan Manobo</u> (Weaver and Weaver 1964:162)
(a) *egsegilenan=ka*.

tell.story=Nom.2s '*I* will tell you (sg.) a story.'

(b) *egsegilenan=ku=katell.story=Gen.1s=Nom.2s'I will tell you (sg.) a story.'

Greater Central Philippines 1a: Manobo II

Kagayanen

Pebley (1999:57): "Composite pronouns referring to the first person ergative and second person absolutive, *takaw* (2, SG) and *takyo* (2, PL) ..."

- > =takaw 'Gen.1s+Nom.2s' < =ta 'Gen.1pi' + =kaw 'Nom.2p'</pre>
- > =takyo 'Gen.1s+Nom.2p' < =ta 'Gen.1pi' + kyo 'Top/Pred.2p'</pre>

Greater Central Philippines 1b: Palawanic

- South West Palawano (Bill Davis, pers. comm.)
- (a) tebang*an=te* ikew. help=Gen.1d Nom.2s '*I* help you (sg.).'
- (b) Ibon=te ikew. child=Gen.1d Nom.2s 'You (sg.) are my child.'

Greater Central Philippines 1c: C. Philippines-1

- <u>Tagalog</u> (English 1987:340) (a) *Sá*sama*han=kitá*. accompany=Nom.2s + Gen.1s '*I* will accompany you (sg.).'
- (b) Kaibigan=kitá. friend=Nom.2s+Gen.1s 'You (sg.) are my friend.'

Greater Central Philippines 1c: C. Philippines-2a

- <u>Cebuano</u> (Wolff 1972: 396, 476)
- (a) *ihatud=ta=*ka (or *tikaw/ ta ika*w) sa pultahan.
 watch=Gen.1pi=Nom.2s Lcv door
 '*I* will see you (sg.) to the door.'
- (b) Amígu=ta=ka (or tikaw/ tika/ ka nákù/ ku ikaw). friend=Gen.1pi=Nom.2s 'You (sg.) are my friend.'

Greater Central Philippines 1c: C. Philippines-2b

- <u>Cebuano</u>
- Wolff (1972:369): "tikaw, tika, ta ka, ka nákù, ku ikaw I did [verb] to you, you are my."
- Wolff (1972:476): "ta ka, ta ikaw, ta kamu I will [do] to you, you are my [noun]."
- Wolff (1972:1007, 1008): *tika / tikaw*: contraction of *ta* and *ikaw*.

Strategies used in coding the actor-I

- no overt form for the actor:
 - > Northern Luzon: Ilokano
 - ≻ Greater Central Philippines: Agusan Manobo
- reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)Da 'Gen.3p':
 - > Northern Luzon: Ga'dang [N.Cordilleran]
 - > Central Luzon: Kapampangan

Strategies used in coding the actor-II

- reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)ta 'Gen.1pi':
 - Northern Luzon:
 - --Arta
 - --N.Cordilleran
 - --Meso-Cordilleran: AltN and all S.Cordilleran
 - Central Luzon: all except Kapampangan
 - Kalamian: Agutaynen
 - **Greater Central Philippines:**
 - --Manobo: Kagayanen, Sarangani Manobo --Palawanic: South West Palawano
 - --Central Philippines: Bikol; Mamanwa; Bisayan (Tausug,
 - Cebuano; Utudnon; South Sorsogon; Masbatenyo;
 - Romblomanon; Aklanon, etc.)

Strategies used in coding the actor-Illa Special portmanteau form kita 'Gen.1s+Nom.2s':

- - > Greater Central Philippines:
 - --Central Philippines
 - --Tagalog: Tagalog
 - --Bisayan:
 - (a) Central Bisayan:
 - --Warayan: South Sorsogon
 - --Peripheral: Hiligaynon
 - (b) West Bisayan:
 - --Aklan: Aklanon
 - --Kinarayan: Kinaray-a
 - (c) South Bisayan-Surigao: Surigaonon

Strategies used in coding the actor-IIIb

- Special portmanteau form tika / tikaw 'Gen.1s+Nom.2s':
 - > Greater Central Philippines: --Central Philippines --Bisayan: Cebuano

Direct Inheritance?

- Reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)ta 'Gen.1pi' are found to be used in place of reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)ku 'Gen.1s' in four of the Philippine microgroups.
- Such a coding strategy *might be reconstructible for* some lower-order proto-languages (e.g. Proto-Southern Cordilleran, Proto-Northern Cordilleran, etc.)
- However, it is not reconstructible for the ancestral language of all Philippine languages.

Independent Developments?

• The wide use of reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)ta 'Gen.1pi' in place of reflexes of PAn/PMP *(i)ku 'Gen.1s' in events involving '1s.actor+2s(/p).undergoer' might be the results of diffusion and/or of independent developments that had taken place in different microgroups of

Philippine languages.

Possible Motivation-I

- Lichtenberk (2005:264, 265): the *integrative* use of inclusive pronominals: "the speaker integrates herself and the addressee into one and the same group, even though objectively they are *not* members of the same group...."
- "Integrative use of inclusives is not uncommon in Austronesian languages, and it is usually characterized as having a *politeness* function...."

Possible Motivation-II

- Lichtenberk (2005:265) "Crucial to understanding the functions of the integrative use of inclusives is Brown & Levinson's (1987) notion of *positive politeness*.
- Positive politeness is "approach-based" (p.70); it attends to the addressee's positive face, which is a person's wish to have his or her wants considered desirable by others.
- One way of expressing positive politeness, the one that will be of special relevance here, is for the speaker to treat the addressee as "a member of an in-group, a friend" (ibid.), which may minimize a potentially face-threatening act. In fact, Brown & Levinson specifically discuss the use of inclusives as a *positive politeness* strategy.

Possible Motivation-III

• Reflexes of *(i)ta 'Gen.1pi' in place of reflexes of *(i)ku 'Gen.1s': (integrative use of inclusive) '1s': [+speaker, -addressee]

 \rightarrow '1pi': [+speaker, +*addressee*]

• Lichtenberk (2005: 267): "....By including the addressee, the speaker blurs the distinction between herself and the addressee: she suppresses her own individuality, deemphasizes herself as being distinct from the addressee [Kéo, S-C Flores, Indonesia]"

Possible Motivation-IV

• Reflexes of *(i)Da 'Gen.3p' in place of reflexes of *(i)ku 'Gen.1s':

- '1s': [+speaker, -addressee]
- → '3p': [-*speaker*, -addressee]

Selected References
st, Robert A. 1991. The Greater Central Philippines hypothesis. Oceanic Linguistics 30:73-129.
st, Robert A. 2005. The linguistic macrohistory of the Philippines: Some speculations. In Current issues in Philippine
linguistics and anthropology: Parangal kay Lawrence A. Reid, ed. by Hsit-chuan Liao and Carl R. Galvez Rubino, 3168. Manila: The Linguistic Society of the Philippines and SLI Philippines.
wm, P., and S.C. Levinson. 1987. Politeness: Some universals in language use. Cambridge: Cambridge University Pres
Taglez, Andrew. 1981. Papanganist: Towards a meaning-based description. Pacific Linguistics C-48. Camberra:
Australian National University.

- Rubino, Carl Ralph Galvez. 1997. A reference grammar of Ilocano. Ph.D. dissertation, University of California at Santa Barbara
- , Kurt, and Margaret Storck, compilers. 2005. Ayta Mag-antsi-English diction insuistics. Philippines ary. Manila: Summer Institute of

Linguistics, runippues.
Tsuchida, Shigerin, Ernesto Constantino, Yukihiro Yamada, and Tsunekazu Moriguchi. 1989. Batanic languages: Lists of sentences for grammatical features. Tokyo: The University of Tokyo.
Walrod, Michael R. 1988. Normative discourse and persuasion: An analysis of Ga'dang informal litigation. Manila: Linguistic Society of the Philippines.
Weaver, Dan, and Marilou Weaver. 1964. Ranking of personal pronouns in Agusan Manobo. Oceanic Linguistics 3 (1):161–170.

Cabination Contents, Contents, Contents, Contents, Cabination and Contents, Cabination and Ca studies of inclusive-excusion jamins Publishing Company

Benjamins Publishing Company. bley, Carol Jean, 1999. A stetch of Kagayanen clause structures. Studies in Kagayanen. Studies in Philippine Languages and Cultures 10(2):1-20. rez. Consucto Vedisquez. 1975. The personal pronouns of Bolinao. M.A. thesis, University of the Philippines, Diliman. akenbuh, J. Stephen. 2005. Some Agutyanen grammatical details: Personal pronouns, nominal markers, and tensei-specificationel. In Linguistics and Ianguage education in the Philippines and Demoid. I Restauction in the Nucleira S. Bautista, ed. by Danilo T. Dayag and J. Stephen Quakenbush, 437-477. Manila: Linguistic Society of the Dilitorione. Lourdes S. Bautista, ed. by Danilo T. Dayag and J. Stephen Quakenbush, 437-477. Philippines. obinson, Laura C. 2008. Dupaningan Agta: Grammar, vocabulary, and texts. Ph.D. di Manoa.

^{(1):101–10.} (0):101–10. Volf, John U., compiler. 1972. A dictionary of Cebuano Visayan. Philippine Journal of Linguistics Special Monograph Issue No. 4. Manila: Linguistic Society of the Philippines. Subgrouping and reconstruction. Pacific Linguistics C-44. Canberra: Department of Linguistics, Research School of Pacific Studies, The Australian National University.